

The Federal Judiciary and Politics

by Phillip W. Weiss

On April 2, 2025, the Senate Judiciary Committee held a hearing on judicial versus executive authority. This hearing proved how the federal courts are totally corrupted by politics. Federal district judges routinely order nationwide injunctions that go far beyond the individual case before the court. This is an old problem. Federal judges are not impartial arbiters of the law. They use the bench to promote their political agenda. To me, the concept of judicial impartiality is a sham. To end this sham, judges should be elected, not appointed. They should be treated for what they are, politicians.

The most obvious example of the politicization of the judiciary is the U.S. Supreme Court with its six Republican judges and three Democrat judges. The judiciary is neither independent nor fair. Judges act in a partisan nature. Judges do not shed their political beliefs just because they're judges. In fact, it is a given that judges issue orders motivated in part by politics. Recently federal district court judges have been issuing universal injunctions and temporary restraining orders that go way beyond the parties involved in a case.

All of this is part of the weaponization of the courts to promote political agendas. Judges should rule on the cases before them and not use cases to promote a political agenda. For judges to do otherwise is an abuse of judicial authority and gross violation of the principle of separation of powers. The Democrat party has been

notorious in its use of the courts to promote a political agenda. The Democrat party used the courts to try to drive Donald Trump out of politics. That lawfare failed, Trump got reelected and now the Democrats are using federal district court judges to shut down the Trump program. The Democrat Party now defend murderers to promote a political agenda.

Now the Democrats are complaining that Mr. Trump is inciting the public against the judges that rule against him, ignoring the fact that Mr. Trump is merely following the precedent set by the Democrats who routinely make all kinds of inflammatory statements to incite the public and use the courts to target their political enemies. The Democrats like to dish it out but can't take their own medicine.

The Democrats started this fight and now can't finish what they started. Nobody is arguing that federal district court judges don't have the authority to rule on cases before them. Rather, the problem is that these courts issue orders applicable to THE ENTIRE NATION. That is immense and unrestrained exercise of political power and a direct affront to the principle of separation of powers. Now both the POTUS and Congress are acting to curb this abuse of judicial authority. To do otherwise would concede all political power to judges who are appointed to their office for life and not directly accountable to the voters.